Georgia State University College of Law Reading Room

Georgia Business Court Opinions

11-14-2022

# H.J. Russell & Company, Order Granting with Prejudice Defendants' Join Motion to Dismiss

Wesley B. Tailor Fulton County Superior Court, Metro Business Case Division

Follow this and additional works at: https://readingroom.law.gsu.edu/businesscourt Part of the Business Organizations Law Commons, and the Contracts Commons

### Institutional Repository Citation

Wesley B. Tailor, *H.J. Russell & Company, Order Granting with Prejudice Defendants' Join Motion to Dismiss*, Georgia Business Court Opinions 573 (2022) https://readingroom.law.gsu.edu/businesscourt/573

This Court Order is brought to you for free and open access by Reading Room. It has been accepted for inclusion in Georgia Business Court Opinions by an authorized administrator of Reading Room. For more information, please contact gfowke@gsu.edu.

### IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY BUSINESS CASE DIVISION STATE OF GEORGIA

H.J. RUSSELL & COMPANY,	)
Plaintiff,	) )
V.	)
LANDMARK AMERICAN INSURANCE COMPANY, STEADFAST INSURANCE COMPANY, WESTCHESTER SURPLUS LINES INSURANCE COMPANY, and HISCOX INSURANCE COMPANY INC.,	))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))
	)

CASE NO. 2022CV361819

Defendants.

### ORDER GRANTING WITH PREJUDICE DEFENDANTS' JOINT MOTION TO DISMISS

)

This matter is before the Court on: (1) Defendants' Joint Motion to Dismiss filed on May 26, 2022, and (2) Defendant Landmark American Insurance Company's Supplement to the Joint Motion to Dismiss filed on May 26, 2022. On November 1, 2022, the Court heard oral argument on these motions. Having read and considered the parties' briefs, and having heard and considered the parties' oral arguments, this Court finds the following:

Plaintiff filed suit on March 9, 2022, alleging counts for breach of contract and declaratory judgment. Plaintiff alleges that the various Defendants wrongfully refused to provide insurance coverage for Plaintiff's substantial losses resulting from the COVID-19 pandemic and from civil authority orders issued by federal, state, and local governments. Plaintiff filed its First Amended Complaint against Defendants on April 27, 2022.

On May 26, 2022, Defendants filed their Joint Motion to Dismiss, contending that Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint fails as a matter of law. Defendants contend that the subject insurance policies cover losses only if those losses are due to "direct physical loss of or damage to" covered property caused by a covered cause of loss. Defendants further contend that the novel coronavirus does not and cannot cause physical loss of or damage to property. Defendant Landmark American Insurance Company further filed a Supplement to the Joint Motion to Dismiss, based on the applicability of the Landmark policy's pathogenic biological material exclusion. Plaintiff opposes the Motion to Dismiss.

The standard for granting a motion to dismiss in Georgia is a stringent one. As our Supreme Court notes:

[A] motion to dismiss for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted should not be sustained unless (1) the allegations of the complaint disclose with certainty that the claimant would not be entitled to relief under any state of provable facts asserted in support thereof; and (2) the movant establishes that the claimant could not possibly introduce evidence within the framework of the complaint sufficient to warrant a grant of the relief sought.... In deciding a motion to dismiss, all pleadings are to be construed most favorably to the party who filed them, and all doubts regarding such pleadings must be resolved in the filing party's favor.

Scouten v. Amerisave Mortg. Corp., 283 Ga. 72, 73 (2008) (citations and punctuation omitted).

All of the insurance coverage provisions under which the Plaintiff seeks coverage require "direct physical loss of or damage to" property. The Georgia Court of Appeals has analyzed the meaning of "direct physical loss of or damage to" property contained in an all-risk property insurance policy. The Court of Appeals determined that this phrase contemplates "an actual change in insured property then in a satisfactory state, occasioned by accident or other fortuitous event directly upon the property causing it to become unsatisfactory for future use or requiring that repairs be made to make it so." *AFLAC Inc. v. Chubb & Sons, Inc.*, 260 Ga. App. 306, 308 (2003).

Here, Plaintiff alleges that the suspected, but not actual, presence of the novel coronavirus and Governor Brian Kemp's "shutdown" order caused a direct physical loss of Plaintiff's property, rendering it unsatisfactory for its intended use. Here, however, there was no "actual change in the insured property." The Court finds the insurance policies at issue to be clear, unambiguous, and susceptible to only one reasonable interpretation. Under the plain language of Defendants' insurance policies, there is no set of conceivable facts that could result in a finding of coverage under the insurance policies at issue. Neither the suspected presence of the novel coronavirus nor any governmental orders can cause an actual change in the insured properties to trigger coverage.

Plaintiff's claims under the insurance policies' Civil or Military Authority and Protection and Preservation of Property coverages likewise fail as a matter of law. The Civil or Military Authority coverage requires a civil or military order prohibiting access to the covered location in response to physical loss of or damage to separate, non-covered property within one mile of the covered property. Likewise, the Protection and Preservation of Property coverage requires actual or imminent physical loss of or damage to a covered property. Because the coronavirus cannot cause physical loss of or damage to property, Plaintiff can provide no set of facts within the framework of the First Amended Complaint sufficient to show that there is coverage under either of these policy provisions. Therefore, any further amendment to the complaint would be futile.

Based upon the foregoing, this Court does not deem it necessary to consider Landmark's additional argument in its supplement to the joint motion to dismiss and supporting brief.

For the foregoing reasons, based upon due consideration of the briefs of the parties, the argument before the Court, as well as all matters of record, it is hereby **ORDERED**: Defendants' Joint Motion to Dismiss is **GRANTED**, and Plaintiff's claims against all Defendants are hereby **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE**.

3

## **SO ORDERED** this 14<sup>th</sup> day of November, 2022.

### /s/ Wesley B. Tailor

### WESLEY B. TAILOR, JUDGE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY BUSINESS CASE DIVISION

Prepared by:

### **FIELDS HOWELL LLP**

665 8<sup>th</sup> Street NW Atlanta, Georgia 30318 Phone: 404-214-1250 Facsimile: 404-214-1251 pfields@fieldshowell.com akirk@fieldshowell.com

#### CLYDE & CO US LLP

271 17th Street NW, Suite 1720 Atlanta, Georgia 30363 Phone: 404-410-3150 Facsimile: 404-410-3151 Robert.Fisher@clydeco.us Taylor.Davis@clydeco.us Jocelyn.DeMars@clydeco.us Paul L. Fields, Jr. Georgia Bar No. 003420 Ann T. Kirk Georgia Bar No. 101047 Taryn M. Kadar Georgia Bar No. 708106 *Counsel for Defendant Certain Underwriters at Lloyd's London Subscribing to Policy No. HNYPRP19757135, Syndicate Numbers 33 and 1886, as Administered by Hiscox, Inc.* 

Robert W. Fisher Georgia Bar No. 261951 Taylor L. Davis Georgia Bar No. 804288 Jocelyn C. DeMars Georgia Bar No. 623458

Counsel for Defendant Westchester Surplus Lines Insurance Company

### AKERMAN LLP

999 Peachtree Street, NE, Suite 1700 Atlanta, GA 30309 Telephone: (404) 733-9800 Facsimile: (404) 733-9898 anthony.morris@akerman.com jeremy.burnette@akerman.com

### **MOZLEY, FINLAYSON & LOGGINS LLP**

1050 Crown Pointe Parkway, Suite 1500 Atlanta, GA 30338 16 Phone: 404-845-1944 Facsimile:404-250-9355 wtaylor@mfllaw.com alandrum@mfllaw.com Anthony W. Morris Georgia Bar No. 523495 Jeremy P. Burnette Georgia Bar No. 142467

Counsel for Defendant Steadfast Insurance Company

Wayne D. Taylor Georgia Bar No. 701275 Anne M. Landrum Georgia Bar No. 433990 Counsel for Defendant Landmark American Insurance Company